SINGLE BENCH
PAGE No. 1

(3)

C.S. 1001

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. : 1682 /2005

PETITIONER 05

P.R. No. 1630/05
Presented by: P. Tiwari
dated 13-03-05

Hemant Kumar Shrivastava
S/oShri.BhagwatPrasadShrivastava,
Aged About 47 Years, By Occupation, U.D.C. Govt. Arts and Commerce college, Baloda Bazar,
Distt. Raipur. {C.G.}

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. **State Of Chhattisgarh**, through the Secretary, Department of Higher Education,D.K.S.Bhawan, Raipur.{C.G.}
2. **Commissioner Higher Education** Govt. College of Science Raipur C. G.
3. **State Of Madhya Pradesh**, Through, The Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal.{ M.P.}
4. **V.K. Pandey**,S/o Shri, Pandey Accountant, Govt. College Kohha, District Raipur.{C.G.}

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 /227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF THE DIRECTION (S), OR ORDER (S).

(५)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.1682 **सन्** 2005

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	22.06.2005	

Mr. Prakash Tiwari, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Shrivastava, learned Panel Lawyer for the State of Chhattisgarh / respondents 1 & 2.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has challenged the order dated 05.01.1991 whereby he has been denied promotion to the post of Accountant. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has filed an application before State Administrative Tribunal challenging this very impugned order on 03.06.1991, the same was registered as O.A.No.1284 of 1991. It is submitted that the notices were issued in the application, return has also been filed by the respondents. On abolition of State Administration Tribunal, the matter stands transferred to this Court.

In view of filing of an application challenging the same impugned order dated 05.01.1991 pending consideration before this Court on transfer from M.P. State Administrative Tribunal, this petition is duplication of the original application. No writ petition is maintainable for the same cause of action, which is also pending consideration in other petitioner, which has been transferred to this Court.

In view of this, this writ petition stands dismissed.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Sarma

(पीछे देखिये)